

Dkt. No.: OP-093000678

**REMARKS**

The Examiner has objected claims 1, 3, 5-10 and 14-16 for having various informalities and rejected claims 15 and 16 under 35 U.S.C. §112, second paragraph, as being indefinite. Claim 8 will be allowed if rewritten to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

In response, Applicant has amended claims 1, 3, 9, 10, 14 and 15 and cancelled claims 8 and 16.

It is believed that the informalities in the claims have been corrected. Therefore, the objections should be withdrawn.

In addition, claim 16 has been cancelled and special care has been taken on claim 15 to ensure its compliance with 35 U.S.C. §112, second paragraph. It is requested that this rejection be withdrawn.

Furthermore, claim 1 has been amended to include the limitation of claim 8. It is requested that this claim and all its dependent claims be allowed.

Finally, regarding to the double patenting issue, Applicant mistakenly types "...connected below the top surface..." of previously presented claim 7 to "...connected above the top surface..." as of previously presented claim 6. In fact, claims 6 and 7 have different allowable subject.

RESPONSE TO OFFICE ACTION

09/912,345

- 4 -

Dkt. No.: OP-093000678

Accordingly, the application is deemed to be in condition for allowance and such a Notice is earnestly solicited.

Respectfully submitted,

September 28, 2005  
Date

LI JIA HAO  
Jia Hao Li

RESPONSE TO OFFICE ACTION

- 5 -

09/912,345